FORM 8

SIGNATURE SHEET FOR EVALUATIVE CRITERIA APPROVED CRITERIA SHALL HAVE ALL REQUIRED SIGNATURES

D	Department/Office: _	EdSL				11	
	Department Chair: _	MaryBeth	. Walpoll	e	PU	1/1	
garage and a	cademic Vear (circ	15.16	16_17		10 10		10 on
daki di sebenaran 1965. D	Date Sent to Dean/Su	pervisor:		, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
S	ignature	AS		Date		Appro	
■ Description of the Personal Control of the Persona	Door / Cruei	-				(Y /)P /	N
	•					Y/P/	N
\overline{A}	dd'l Admin:	1111	<u></u>		. 1011078	1717	11
<u>. (</u>	Jon 10	/// ^{/01}				<i>(Y)</i> P /	N
// //	rovost/designee:						
 D Wasanninancal	maidant/dacionace	_				Y/P/	N
	C						
Y = Approved		P = Approved pending	modifications	N=	Not approved		
For P or N decisions	s, the departmental o	ommittee should be pro	avided with the re	asons, for non-arm	rougl, ge Henry		
	tananan ada salahan barra dari anggalasa 1900-1906 - Salah Wali Salah Salahan da 1904-1904 - Salah salah salah salah salah salah	dilakan gapan di kacamatan di ka Birilakan di kacamatan di kacama Birilakan di kacamatan di kacama	e de la	and the first section of the section	Maria de la compansión de		
DIRFCTIONS: Sion	n each line and prin	t <u>,or stamn n</u> ame helow (the line. This cian	atura nana muet a	agamnany tha		
	valuativa atandarda	t <u>or stamn name below</u> heoughout the satisces	Anciol Lacoccie	19-38:28:38:38:38:38:38:38:38:38:38:38:38:38:38	174 - 4 11 1 - 4 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 1	mars serie	-m.c
		s\Dave\blup\dedraue.com y sent to the Senate offi					1 151
	epartment/Office.	,		<u></u>	<u> </u>	200 10 111,0	
D. D	enartmental annrow	al. sent to Dean/Supervi	inor	Sentember 25 (e	ouliou if possible		-
	- .	,	1968 .		arner ir imeeinie	,	
De	ean provides feedba	ck regarding criteria		October 9			
	inal administrative a epartment, and Dear	pproval and forwarding	g to Senate,	November 1			

Checklist Item 3

INTERPRETING THE CRITERIA TO BE UTILIZED IN EVALUATING

Rationale: The Educational Services and Leadership Department adheres to the criteria for

annimiese alegement operet i targonol-alegementatione de manteur de la minimies

Standards in place at time of faculty member's hire governs the recontracting and tenure

nierismus normar rekniev mentrust utdess dersne rinnises ni de piporal ov a storierinistic

Description and Tonius Mamarandum of Agramant (MOA). Additional avalanation of each

of the areas is provided below:

Evaluation Criteria (Criteria are weighted in the order listed below)

1. TEACHING EFFECTIVENESS

The Denominant accesses the neutomanes of industrial consideres for

recontracting and tenure based upon multiple criteria including student evaluation data, peer observations, and other materials presented in the candidate's application. The Department recognizes field-based supervision, chairing dissertations, masters theses or the equivarent, and advising mentoring are aspects of teaching.

The Department recognizes the importance of striving for excellence in teaching. In general, faculty should demonstrate skillful teaching, a commitment to student an learning and engagement with thoughtful instructional practices. Considered will be evaluated using the measures of teaching effectiveness described in the MCA:

Academic instruction, development of learning activities, development as a

evaluation and feedback to students, currency, enthusiasm/interpersonal skills and knowledge of the content.

According to Appendix A, MOA 2014-2015, p. 28, characteristics of excellence in teaching at Rowan University include:

- A. Teaches in a way that helps students learn
- B. Explains clearly
- C. Promotes thinking
- D. Provides useful feedback
- E. Shows fairness and respect-
- F. Actively engages students
- G. Encourages students to express ideas or opinions

- T. Frepares comes mannar moreagney
- I. Communicates course and lesson goals
- J. Helps students see the relevance of course content
- K. Solicits student feedback about the course and instructional methods
- L. Applies student learning outcomes to plans for future learning.

 M. Other characteristics appropriate to candidate's program.

2. SCHOLARLY AND CREATIVE ACTIVITY/ PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT

and tenure based upon materials presented in the candidate's application that candidates will consistently nublish in neer-reviewed and nublically disseminated

(e.g., acceptance rate and rank of journal of other reputational calculation); imnact (e.g., number of subsequent citations or journal audience size and type (scholarly and/or practitioner)) and role (e.g. contribution in co-authored publications or as co-investigator for grants) of his/her work in a specific field Highest weight will be siven to sole as first authorships for publications and reseastations. Second and third outbarehin will result in the nublication or presentation being considered in the next lower weight category (i.e., medium for those publications and presentations in the high category and low for presentations in the medium category). Fourth outhouship and beyond for publications and presentations will be considered in the lowest category. In addition it is avacated that the condidate will maintain a received aganda that is sustainable and when nossible, fundable, Candidates are encouraged to seek external funding to support their research efforts.

not be limited to:

High

- A. Turnshen manuscripts in refered founds formit or or
- B. Published refereed scholarly books
- C. Published refereed textbooks
- D. Published book chapter in a refereed scholarly book
- E. Writing successfully reviewed and funded grant proposals as a PI or co-PI
- T. Serving as editor of a refereed journar or sentiarly 000k.
- G. Presenting a peer-reviewed paper at a national or international scholarly/academic meeting
- H. Presenting at a national or international professional meeting as an invited speaker
- whing successiony funded program evandation

Medium

- J. Presenting a peer-reviewed paper at a regional or state scholarly/academic meeting
- K. Participation in research activities soonsored by academic/scholarly

in same same as

- L. Serving as a discussion to a peer reviewed panel at a state, regional, national or international scholarly/academic meeting
- M. Participation on a funded grant as key personnel

Low

- N. Publication of a book review in a journal
- O. Serving as a chair on a peer-reviewed panel at a state, regional, national or international scholarly/academic meeting
- 11. Vatarani a similari i indicativi a taratità malara i national malari inclimational inclimational inclimational funding badies
- Q. Writing abstracts for scholarly publications
- R Writing grant proposals (although unfunded)
 - S. Other formute for disconnication may be remodered if more multiplication of

THUNITY'S CALL THE COMME

L. Assessment renorts associated with national accreditation review

· Company and a supplied the company of the company

THE AND HAVE CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE DELAKTREM TO

i - commence de la company de la company

The Department assesses the contributions to department college and university

following (which may include but not be limited to):

to the second of the second se

- A. Sewice on Department, College wide Committees and University Committees
- B. Revision of course synaur
- C. Serving as a course leader
- D. Serving on dissertation and master thesis committees
- E. Chairing a department
- F. Participation in Department program planning, revision and evaluation
- G. Leadership in P-12 collaboration activities such as Professional Development
 Schools
- H. Program coordination

MULTURALING THE BEODERSTEIN AT THE PARTIES FIFTH

The Department assesses this area through documentation submitted by the Candidate related to me following winch may income our nor immed to mose listed below). Activities at the national and international level are considered more highly than those at the state/regional and local level.

- A. Engaging in Professional Services
- B. Participating in Professional Organizations
- C. Participation in Conferences