Task Force on Undeclared Students Report to the Pro restrictions. It is also clear that no such rationale exists for some restricted majors. Some are restricted to control major size; others are restricted to "improve quality." In both of these cases there are alternative methods to achieve the goal (e.g., introductory courses with rigorous standards) in a manner that are both fairer and more clearly based on merit.

b. Internal Transfers

te

Appendix 1

Retention Data Undeclared Versus Declared Students

| Cohort | Fr>Soph | Soph>Jr | Jr>Sr | 5-year grad | 6-year grad |
|---------------------|---------|---------|--------|-------------|-------------|
| 2001 | | | | | |
| Undeclared | 82.3% | 70.7%* | 67.0%* | 28.2%* | 51.5%* |
| Declared | 83.9% | 78.7%* | 75.4%* | 44.9%* | 65.9%* |
| 2002 | | | | | |
| Undeclared | 83.7% | 73.9% | 68.2% | 31.0%* | |
| Declared | 85.4% | 76.3% | 68.5% | 48.8%* | |
| 2003 | | | | | |
| Undeclared | 82.6% | 73.0%* | 68.4%* | | |
| Declared | 85.1% | 76.5%* | 73.4%* | | |
| 2004 | | | | | |
| Undeclared | 83.5%* | 74.8%* | | | |
| Declared | 87.0%* | 79.7%* | | | |
| 2005 | | | | | |
| Undeclared | 80.1%* | | | | |
| Declared | 84.9%* | | | | |
| * X^2 : $p < .05$ | | | | | |

Table 2Undeclared Students who Returned Undeclared

| Cohort | Fr>Soph | Soph>Jr | Jr>Sr |
|--------|---------|---------|-------|
| 2001 | 64% | 20% | 4.0% |
| 2002 | 61% | 19% | 2.5% |
| 2003 | 59.9% | 19% | 3.0% |
| 2004 | 65.3% | 21.2% | |
| 2005 | 52.0% | | |

Appendix 2

VOF (Visions of the Future) Learning Communities for Undeclared Students Retention Data*

| VOF Retention to Second Fall | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|------|------|------|------|--|--|--|
| | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | | | |

